

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/620,368	07/17/2003	Toshihiko Tanaka	030867	9716
38834	7590 05/03/2005		EXAMINER	
WESTERMAN, HATTORI, DANIELS & ADRIAN, LLP			PURVIS, SUE A	
SUITE 700	0 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, NW TE 700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			1734	
			DATE MAILED: 05/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/620,368	TANAKA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Sue A. Purvis	1734			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status			. -			
1) Responsive to	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 February 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is F						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
•						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cit.	ed (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's	ed (FTO-692) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Application/Control Number: 10/620,368 Page 2

Art Unit: 1734

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings were received on 01 February 2005. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim is generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. It is unclear to the examiner after reading the specification how a plurality of ridges engage with the splined grooves of the attitude control element body and where a taper is formed from said ridges to the container abutting section. Clarification is required, such as where are the ridges and how do they interact with the splines and the spline grooves.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 01 February 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive with respect to claim 6.
- 5. Regarding the rejection under §112, applicant's response is to say they are "entitled to claim the invention as broadly as permitted by the prior art." However, applicant is reminded that while they have the right to claim their invention broadly, they need to have

Application/Control Number: 10/620,368

Art Unit: 1734

claims which "particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention." The rejection was made because the examiner could not ascertain the applicant's invention based on the dense claim language and upon reading the specification. Applicant points to Figure 7C, suggesting 'a ridge and spline may be "engaged" as illustrated' there, but Figure 7C includes a spline (67), attitude control element unit (62), and a pressing head (64). (See Applicant's specification page 24.) Where are the "ridges" which "engage" with the "spline" in this figure? Applicant can claim their invention broadly, but the invention needs to be distinctly claimed as required by §112. If the applicant can explain or point to the portion of the specification which includes this feature, the rejection can be overcome without amending the claim. Contrary to applicant's assertion, there was no request made that the applicant include "further language" in the claim. It may be that less language in the claim could make the claim clearer and more distinct. Either way, a request is made to clarify the claim either by amending the language or showing where this claimed feature is described in the specification such that the meaning of the claim can be ascertained.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-5 and 7 are allowed.
- 7. Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 8. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: Applicant's claim includes the limitation that the container presser bar passes "through a tubular label held by the label holding means." Prior art fails to teach a tubular label fitting apparatus which has this structural feature. The device of Eiban (US Patent No. 6,708,470 B2) is not capable of having the presser bar "pass though" the label.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany

Application/Control Number: 10/620,368

Art Unit: 1734

the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Purvis whose telephone number is (571) 272-1236. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 9am to 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christopher A. Fiorilla can be reached on (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/620,368 Page 5

Art Unit: 1734

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sue A. Purvis Primary Examiner Art Unit 1734

SP April 30, 2005